SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/09 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Riverside County

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$50,967,627

PJ's Size Grouping*: A

PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):** | |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group A | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 92 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 93.22 % | 91.11 % | 29 | 91.92 % | 67 | 61 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 89.11 % | 82.09 % | 13 | 82.65 % | 85 | 77 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 10.95 | 5.72 | 1 | 4.67 | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 96.47 % | 73.27 % | 24 | 81.09 % | 71 | 51 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 83.37 % | 62.86 % | 23 | 68.72 % | 80 | 75 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 93.37 % | 77.58 % | 17 | 79.93 % | 95 | 78 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 40.66 % | 40.22 % | 43 | 44.94 % | 35 | 40 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 98.95 % | 92.30 % | 30 | 94.87 % | 60 | 52 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In S | tate: 11 / 92 | Nation | nally: 85 | 83 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$36,639 | \$32,812 | | \$25,717 | 573 Units | 24.70 % |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$22,685 | \$20,065 | | \$14,669 | 1,025 Units | 44.20 % |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$0 | \$26,734 | | \$20,391 | 0 Units | 0.00 % |
| TBRA Unit | \$562 | \$2,804 | | \$3,201 | 723 Units | 31.20 % |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (293 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Riverside County CA

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:* National:** Rental \$106,996 \$128,033 \$91,120

Homebuyer Homeowner \$108,193 \$0 \$110,407 \$27,687 \$23,149 \$73,223

CHDO Operating Expenses: (% of allocation)

PJ:

1.05

National Avg:

0.0 % 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index:

| DACE. | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE. | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| RACE: | | | 0.0 | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 45.3 | | 0.0 | 33.9 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 26.6 | | 0.0 | 30.7 |
| Black/African American: | 11.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 35.1 | Elderly: | 27.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 10.1 |
| Asian: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | Related/Single Parent: | 22.8 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 43.3 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | Related/Two Parent: | 19.8 | 66.1 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 3.5 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 6.8 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 39.9 | 83.8 | 0.0 | 28.4 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL | ASSISTAN | NCE: | | |
| 1 Person: | 45.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 32.6 | Section 8: | 12.0 | 0.0 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 13.1 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 20.2 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 16.0 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 14.2 | Other: | 1.9 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 12.0 | 26.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | No Assistance: | 86.1 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 7.1 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 8.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 4.8 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 5.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.9 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 2.5 | | | | | |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

8 or more Persons:

HOME Program Performance SNAPSH

202

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001

1.1

2.7

0.0

^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Riverside County State: CA Group Rank: 85 (Percentile)

State Rank: 11 / 92 PJs
Overall Rank: 83
(Percentile)

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|--------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| | | | | |
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 71.84% | 96.47 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 47.80% | 83.37 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 93.37 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 90.38% | 98.95 | |
| "ALLOCATION- | YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.360 | 1.52 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.